

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/649,399	08/28/2000	John F. Travers	29939/30002	7200
7	7590 04/01/2003			
BRYAN J. LEMPIA MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN 6300 SEARS TOWER 233 DOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			EXAMINER	
			NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	21
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/649,399	TRAVERS ET AL.				
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	$(\angle)a$	inel PStodola				
Claim(s) allowed:		DANIEL D OTODOLA				
Claim(s) objected to:	SIID	DANIEL P. STODOLA ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,9-15 and 17-19</u> .	T	ECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-8 and 16</u> . 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		round by the Everniner				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 19.						
10. Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

e.g., (a) the limitation "at least one compartment formed contiguous with the perimeter wall and extending within the interior" in lines 5-6 o claim 1 (see also lines 5-8 of claim 13 which recites "a first compartment... a second compartment... extending within the interior" and (b) "capable of collecting and redirecting water" in lines 9-10 of (new) claim 20. It is noted that claim 20 has been added without

canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.